

PSICQUIC

Paul Shannon

April 8, 2015

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Quick Start: find interactions between Myc and Tp53	2
3	Retrieve all Myc interactions found by Agrawal et al, 2010, using tandem affinity purification	3
4	Gene symbols for input, “native” identifiers for results	3
5	Add Entrez GeneIDs and HUGO Gene Symbols	4
6	Retrieve Interactions Among a Set of Genes	4
7	References	5

1 Introduction

PSICQUIC (the Proteomics Standard Initiative Common QUery InterfaCe, pronounced “psy-kick”) is “an effort from the HUPO Proteomics Standard Initiative (HUPO-PSI) to standardise the access to molecular interaction databases programmatically”. The Bioconductor PSICQUIC package provides a traditional R function-calling (S4) interface layered on top of the PSICQUIC REST interface, to obtain a data.frame of annotated interactions between specified proteins, each of which is typically described by the HUGO symbol of the gene which codes for the protein of interest.

PSICQUIC is loose association of web accessible databases, “providers”, linked explicitly only by virtue of being listed at the central PSICQUIC web site. Each provider supports the **MIQL** (molecular interaction query language), and each of which returns standard columns in tab-delimited text. In typical use one queries for all of the interactions in which a protein participates. Equally typical are queries for all known interactions between two specified proteins. These queries are easily constrained by **provider** (e.g., BioGrid or IntAct), by **detectionMethod**, by interaction **type**, and/or by **publicationID**.

Interactions among a set of three or more genes may also be requested. The combinations of possible pairs grows non-linearly with the number of genes, so use this option with care.

PSICQUIC may therefore be best suited to the close study of a few dozen genes or proteins of interest, rather than for obtaining interactions for hundreds or thousands of genes or proteins. For bulk interactions, we recommend that you directly download databases from individual PSICQUIC (or other) providers.

Approximately thirty databases currently implement PSICQUIC. They all

- Support the molecular interaction query language (MIQL)
- Use a controlled vocabulary describing interactions and detection methods
- Communicate via SOAP or REST
- Return results in XML or a tab-delimited form
- May be interrogated programmatically or via a URL in a web browser

```
> library(PSICQUIC)
> psicquic <- PSICQUIC()
> providers(psicquic)
```

```
[1] "BioGrid"      "bhf-ucl"      "ChEMBL"      "DIP"
[5] "HPIDb"       "InnateDB"     "IntAct"      "mentha"
[9] "MPIDb"       "MatrixDB"     "MINT"        "Reactome"
[13] "Reactome-FIs" "STRING"       "BIND"        "Interporc"
[17] "I2D-IMEx"    "InnateDB-IMEx" "MolCon"      "UniProt"
[21] "MBInfo"      "BindingDB"    "VirHostNet"  "Spike"
[25] "BAR"
```

2 Quick Start: find interactions between Myc and Tp53

A simple example is the best introduction to this package. Here we discover that BioGrid, Intact, Reactome, STRING and BIND each report one or more interactions between human Myc and Tp53:

```
> library(Psicquic)
> psicquic <- Psicquic()
> providers(psicquic)
```

```
[1] "BioGrid"      "bhf-ucl"      "ChEMBL"      "DIP"
[5] "HPIDb"       "InnateDB"     "IntAct"      "mentha"
[9] "MPIDb"       "MatrixDB"     "MINT"        "Reactome"
[13] "Reactome-FIs" "STRING"       "BIND"        "Interporc"
[17] "I2D-IMEx"    "InnateDB-IMEx" "MolCon"      "UniProt"
[21] "MBInfo"      "BindingDB"    "VirHostNet"  "Spike"
[25] "BAR"
```

```
> tbl <- interactions(psicquic, id=c("TP53", "MYC"), species="9606")
> dim(tbl)
```

```
[1] 8 16
```

Note that the several arguments to the *interactions* method are unspecified. They maintain their default values, and act as wildcards in the query.

How many of the approximately twenty-five data sources reported interactions?

```
> table(tbl$provider)
```

```
      BIND      BioGrid      IntAct Reactome-FIs      STRING      mentha
      1         1         1         1         2         2
```

What kind of interactions, detection methods and references were reported? (Note that the terms used in the controlled vocabularies used by the PSICQUIC data sources are often quite long, complicating the display of extractions from our data.frame. To get around this here, we extract selected columns in small groups so that the results will fit on the page.)

```
> tbl[, c("provider", "type", "detectionMethod")]
```

```
      provider      type
1 BioGrid psi-mi:MI:0915(physical association)
2 IntAct   psi-mi:MI:0914(association)
3 mentha  psi-mi:MI:0914(association)
4 mentha  psi-mi:MI:0915(physical association)
5 Reactome-FIs -
6 STRING -
7 STRING  psi-mi:MI:0190
8 BIND    -
      detectionMethod
1 psi-mi:MI:0004(affinity chromatography technology)
2 psi-mi:MI:0676(tandem affinity purification)
3 psi-mi:MI:0676(tandem affinity purification)
4 psi-mi:MI:0004(affinity chromatography technology)
5 psi-mi:MI:0046(experimental knowledge based)
6 psi-mi:MI:0364(inferred by curator)
7 psi-mi:MI:0087(predictive text mining)
8 psi-mi:MI:0030(crosslink)
```

These are quite heterogeneous. The well-established “tandem affinity purification” proteomics method probably warrants more weight than “predictive text mining”. Let’s focus on them:

```

> tbl[grep("affinity", tbl$detectionMethod),
+       c("type", "publicationID", "firstAuthor", "confidenceScore", "provider")]
      type                publicationID
1 psi-mi:MI:0915(physical association) pubmed:21150319
2   psi-mi:MI:0914(association) pubmed:21150319|imex:IM-16995
3     psi-mi:MI:0914(association) pubmed:21150319
4 psi-mi:MI:0915(physical association) pubmed:21150319
      firstAuthor confidenceScore provider
1   Agrawal P (2010)          <NA> BioGrid
2 Agrawal et al. (2010) intact-miscore:0.35 IntAct
3 - mentha-score:0.236 mentha
4 - mentha-score:0.236 mentha

```

This result demonstrates that different providers report results from the same paper in different ways, sometimes omitting confidence scores, and sometimes using different (though related) terms from the PSI controlled vocabularies.

3 Retrieve all Myc interactions found by Agrawal et al, 2010, using tandem affinity purification

These reports of TP53/Myc interactions by detection methods variously described as “affinity chromatography technology” and “tandem affinity purification”, both accompanied by a reference to the same recent paper (“**Proteomic profiling of Myc-associated proteins**”, Agrawal et al, 2010), suggests the next task: obtain all of the interactions reported in that paper.

```

> tbl.myc <- interactions(psicquic, "MYC", species="9606", publicationID="21150319")

```

How many were returned? From what sources? Any confidence scores reported?

```

> dim(tbl.myc)

```

```

[1] 1082  16

```

```

> table(tbl.myc$provider)

```

```

BioGrid IntAct mentha
  108     452     522

```

```

> table(tbl.myc$confidenceScore)

```

```

intact-miscore:0.35 intact-miscore:0.53 intact-miscore:0.56 intact-miscore:0.60
  403                29                9                1
intact-miscore:0.67 intact-miscore:0.69 intact-miscore:0.79 intact-miscore:0.96
   3                  3                  1                  3
mentha-score:0.126 mentha-score:0.236 mentha-score:0.309 mentha-score:0.332
  292                169                1                18
mentha-score:0.354 mentha-score:0.416 mentha-score:0.49 mentha-score:0.554
   1                  25                 2                 4
mentha-score:0.623 mentha-score:0.731 mentha-score:0.891 mentha-score:0.952
   1                   1                   2                   1
mentha-score:0.999 mentha-score:1
   2                   3

```

4 Gene symbols for input, “native” identifiers for results

PSICQUIC queries apparently expect HUGO gene symbols for input. These are translated by each provider into each provider’s native identifier type, which is nearly always a protein id of some sort. The results returned use the protein identifier native to each provider – but see notes on the use of our IDMapper class for converting these protein identifiers to gene symbols and entrez geneIDs. If you submit a protein identifier in a query, it is apparently used without translation, and the interactions returned are limited to those which use exactly the protein identifier you supplied. Thus the use of gene symbols is recommended for all of your calls to the *interactions* method.

Here is a sampling of the identifiers returned by the PSICQUIC providers:

7 References

- Aranda, Bruno, Hagen Blankenburg, Samuel Kerrien, Fiona SL Brinkman, Arnaud Ceol, Emilie Chautard, Jose M. Dana et al. "PSICQUIC and PSISCORE: accessing and scoring molecular interactions." *Nature methods* 8, no. 7 (2011): 528-529.
- Agrawal, Pooja, Kebin Yu, Arthur R. Salomon, and John M. Sedivy. "Proteomic profiling of Myc-associated proteins." *Cell Cycle* 9, no. 24 (2010): 4908-4921.